

D8

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

BUREAU OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES


PUBLIC COPY

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE

425 Eye Street N.W.

ULLB, 3rd Floor

Washington, D.C. 20536



File: EAC 02 203 53691

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date:

MAR 31 2003

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker under Section 101(a)(15)(O)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(O)(i)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a talent agency. The beneficiary is an actress and producer. The petitioner filed a Form I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker, seeking O-1 classification of the beneficiary, as an alien with extraordinary ability in the arts under section 101(a)(15)(O)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), in order to employ her as an actor and producer at a salary of \$30,320 per year.

The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary satisfies the regulatory standards for classification as an alien with extraordinary ability in the arts.

On appeal, counsel for the petitioner argues that the director's decision was arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion and not in accordance with the law. Counsel indicated that a written brief would be filed on or before September 25, 2002. As of this date, however, no brief has been received. The record, therefore, must be considered complete as presently constituted.

Section 101(a)(15)(O)(i) of the Act provides classification to a qualified alien who has extraordinary ability in the sciences, arts, education, business, or athletics which has been demonstrated by sustained national or international acclaim, whose achievements have been recognized in the field through extensive documentation, and who seeks to enter the United States to continue work in the area of extraordinary ability.

The petitioner failed to address specifically the grounds for denial set forth in the decision of the director.

8 C.F.R. §103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Inasmuch as the petitioner has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.